NAV 29 FOUNDRY OPERATIONS

Does the foundry have a documented quality system?	Yes	No
Are the foundry's employees trained and familiar with portions of the system applicable to their position?	Yes	No
b. What recognized quality management system does the foundry's quality system comply with? (ISO 9000, MIL-I, MIL-Q)		
c. Does the foundry conduct internal assessments or audits when required by contract or internal quality system?	Yes	No N/A
i. Does the foundry maintain records of internal review such as schedule, results, and corrective actions?	Yes	No N/A
2. Has the foundry ever been subjected to a 3 rd party quality audit? If so, by whom? (Provide documentation of these audits.)	Yes	No N/A
3. Does the foundry have a documented process for evaluation, review and selection of suppliers for their raw material? (i.e., ingot, alloying additions, purchased scrap)?	Yes	No
a. Does this process include a review for past performance?	Yes	No
b. Does this process include any onsite review or evaluation of technical capability?	Yes	No
c. Provide listing of suppliers, noting the type/alloy of material provided?		

4. Is there a documented procedure/process to determine the acceptability of raw materials? (i.e., ingot, alloying additions, purchased scrap)?	Yes	No
Does this include reviewing material certifications and/or reperforming material verification testing to confirm material meets specification requirements?	Yes	No
b. Does this process include reviewing the traceability of certified material to the paperwork?	Yes	No
c. Does this procedure/process take into account differences in the source of the material such as, past supplier performance, receiving certified and traceable material with test reports, versus uncertified scrap? (i.e. changing sample sizes or performing additional testing on material before acceptance)	Yes □	No □
5. Does the foundry have a documented procedure for controlling, storing, and issuing raw materials and additives?	Yes	No
a. Does this procedure require raw materials to be labeled/marked and stored in a manner to preclude mix-up with other similar materials?	Yes	No
b. Does the foundry control back scrap (revert); re-melt or internal scrap material by alloy and/or heat number?	Yes	No N/A
c. Does the procedure cover the disposition of material that does not meet chemical and/or mechanical requirements?	Yes	No
6. Is there a procedure for developing, documenting and controlling the entire casting process, including all foundry engineering, such as gating, risering, pattern design and pour temperature, when a 1 st article test is required?	Yes	No

a. Does this procedure include a change control process that addresses analyzing any changes to the casting processes for their effect on the end product and whether or not validation and/or requalification of the 1 st article test is required?	Yes	No
b. Once the 1 st article test is accepted by the customer, is the customer notified when there are modifications to the production of a casting that impacts the acceptability of the original 1 st article test?	Yes	No
7. Does the foundry have a specific melting and pouring procedure for each alloy group the foundry melts?	Yes	No
a. Do these procedures define what is considered a single heat or lot?	Yes	No
 i. Do the heat/lot definitions comply with the applicable specifications for the alloy? 	Yes	No □
b. Do these procedures call for the use of calibrated instrumentation to control the temperature of the melt?	Yes	No
 i. Are calibrated instruments being used where required by internal procedure or by the governing specifications? (see checklist #4 for additional guidance on auditing calibration systems) 	Yes	No N/A
c. Do internal procedures require a chemical check analysis prior to releasing the melt for pouring?	Yes	No
d. Do these procedures identify when and how many chemical and/or mechanical test coupons are poured?	Yes	No N/A
 i. Do the procedures comply with the applicable specifications for the alloy? 	Yes	No N/A

ii. Are these test coupons marked to maintain traceability to the heat/lot and to the actual production material?	Yes	No N/A
e. Do the procedures identify the size and shape of the test coupons required?	Yes	No N/A
Does this meet the requirements of the applicable casting specifications, including some heat treatable castings which require the test coupons to represent the thickest portion of the production castings?	Yes	No N/A
f. Do the melt procedures specifically forbid adding material to the melt after the test coupons used to certify the final product have been poured?	Yes	No
g. Do the melt procedures have limits on the maximum size or weight of a pour?	Yes	No
i. Are these limits within the capability of the foundry's equipment?	Yes	No N/A
h. Do these procedures limit pour time and/or address additions of deoxidants and other additives to maintain chemistry where applicable?	Yes	No
Do procedures require measurement or weighing of these additives before use?	Yes	No N/A
i. Do the procedures require heat lot traceable records that record the parameters used during the casting process, such as mold #, personnel performing pour, the constituents (additives, certified ingot, backscrap/revert) used in the pour including amounts, and the melt time and temperature?	Yes	No

8. Are heat/lot traceability markings cast into final product or marked immediately after cooling and removal from the molds? Or is a process in place to assure traceability is maintained until markings are applied?	Yes	No
9. Is foundry equipment that may come in contact with molten product, such as ladles, crucibles, stirrers, skimmers and thermal blankets, controlled for use in a single alloy or family of alloys where cross contamination is not a concern?	Yes	No
10. Where foundry equipment is used on multiple alloy types are precautions such as "wash heats" or other cleaning processes used to prevent or limit cross contamination of different alloys?	Yes	No N/A
11. Does the foundry have any process to limit surface contamination of the final product from shot blasting or other final cleaning process?	Yes	No
12. Is the remaining metal after each pour (gates, risers and other scrap) labeled, sorted and stored in accordance with the foundries material control process?	Yes	No
13. Are the chemistry and mechanical properties of each heat/pour of metal verified after melting and/or casting even where pre-certified ingot is used?	Yes	No N/A
14. Does the foundry use a documented procedure for performing and evaluating NDT on the final product? (see checklist #3 for additional guidance on auditing NDT)	Yes	No
 a. Where required by contract has the foundry or their sub contractor received approval from an authorized activity for their NDT procedures? 	Yes	No N/A
15. NDT Performed in house		
a. Mark NDT performed	MT PT	VT RT UT

b. Is NDT used as certification of the final product being performed by a NDT examiner certified in the applicable discipline?	Yes	No
c. Are records kept that detail the size and location of any rejectable defects noted during the inspections?	Yes	No
16. Subcontracted NDT		
Mark NDT subcontracted and list subcontractors used.	MT PT	VT RT UT
b. Is any oversight or evaluation performed on subcontractors performing NDT?	Yes	No
c. Does the foundry receive reports detailing the size and location of any rejectable defects noted during the inspections?	Yes	No
17. Does the foundry have a process for reviewing repetitive casting defects in order make improvements to their casting process and foundry engineering which will improve the acceptability of the final product?	Yes	No
18. Does the foundry use documented qualified procedures for performing welding repair on their castings? (See Checklist #22 for additional guidance on auditing welding)	Yes	No N/A
a. Are the welders trained and qualified to the welding procedures they are asked to perform?	Yes	No N/A
b. Does the foundry maintain records showing the training and qualification of the welders?	Yes	No N/A
c. Where required by contract has the foundry or their subcontractor received approval from an authorized activity for their welding procedures?	Yes	No N/A

19. Are records maintained when welding repairs are performed?	Yes	No	N/A
a. Do these records contain the information required by the applicable welding or casting specification (e.g. MIL-STD-278 or MIL- STD-1688) such as location of the repair, welder performing the repair, welding procedure used, welding consumables used, and results of follow-up NDT?	Yes □	No	N/A
20. Are MILSPEC welding consumables used when required by the welding procedure, contract or governing specification?	Yes	No	N/A
21. Are the welding consumables used to perform weld repairs certified to the applicable MILSPEC, AWS, or other commercial specification?	Yes	No	N/A
22. Does the foundry subcontract the testing of any of its material, such as chemical, mechanical or weldability testing?	Yes		No
Does the foundry use a documented procedure to select/evaluate subcontracted test lab(s) performing mechanical and chemistry testing?	Yes	No	N/A
b. Are test labs required to be certified through a 3 rd party such as A2LA or NADCAP?	Yes	No	N/A
 c. Is a list of qualified testing labs, along with their capabilities, maintained? 	Yes	No	N/A
d. Does the foundry perform any oversight or confirmation testing to provide assurance that the testing lab is performing properly?	Yes	No	N/A
23. Is a documented procedure used for preparing the test coupons and accomplishing the chemical analysis?	Yes		No

a. Is this procedure available at the working level?	Yes	No	N/A
b. Is there a documented training plan, including training records, for this procedure?	Yes	No	N/A
 c. List type, brand, and model of equipment used to perform chemical analysis. 			
 i. Is the equipment used for the chemical analysis calibrated in accordance with the applicable specification (ANSI Z-540.1) or manufacturer's recommendations? 	Yes	No	N/A
d. Are the standards used to calibrate the test equipment traceable to a NIST standard or other recognized organization?	Yes	No	N/A
 i. Are the standards used representative of the full range of alloys produced by the facility? 	Yes	No 🗌	N/A
e. Is the testing equipment capable of reporting full quantitative values, including trace elements, for the alloys produced by the foundry?	Yes	No	N/A
f. Does the procedure define rounding and use of significant digits when reporting the results of the chemical analysis?	Yes	No	N/A
24. Is a documented procedure used for preparing the test coupons and accomplishing the mechanical property testing?	Yes		No
a. Is this procedure available at the working level?	Yes	No	N/A

b. Is there a documented training plan, including training records, for this procedure?	Yes	No	N/A
c. List type, brand, and model of equipment used to perform mechanical testing.			
i. Is the equipment used for mechanical testing calibrated in accordance with the applicable specification (ANSI Z-540.1) or manufacturer's recommendations?	Yes	No	N/A
d. Are the standards used to calibrate the test equipment traceable to a NIST standard or other recognized organization?	Yes	No	N/A
i. Are the standards used representative of the full range of alloys produced by the facility?	Yes	No	N/A
e. Does the procedure define rounding and use of significant digits when reporting the results of the chemical analysis?	Yes	No	N/A
25. Is a documented procedure used for preparing and testing the weldability test coupons?	Yes		No
a. Is this procedure available at the working level?	Yes	No	N/A
b. Is there a documented training plan, including training records, for this procedure?	Yes	No	N/A

Additional concerns/comments: